



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,308	02/26/2004	Firmin M. Musungu	HITG.061A(0527)	4347
7590	02/08/2006		EXAMINER	
Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C. Two Union Square 1000 Tallan Building Chattanooga, TN 37402			NEGRON, DANIEL L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2651	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/787,308	MUSUNGU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniell L. Negrón	2651	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 November 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3-11,13-21 and 23-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 1, 3-11, 13-21, and 23-30 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following:
 - a. The term “VCO” is not defined in the specification. For example: page 12, line 7.
 - b. The specification includes terminology which is different from that which is generally accepted in the art to which this invention pertains. For example: see the term “magnets” on page 4, line 10, also see drawings. Examiner suggests use of art-accepted terminology such as “data” or “bits”.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: the recitation “the read path” lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1, 3-11, 13-21, and 23-30 (to be renumbered as 1-27) are allowed.
4. The following is an examiner’s statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding claims 1, 3-11, 13-21, and 23-30, claims 1, 11, and 21 teach an apparatus and method for providing write pre-compensation utilizing read signal timing, comprising a write pre-compensation circuit for using a first phase clock signal (having a first phase and being synchronized with a read signal of a read path) and a second clock signal (having a second phase at a predetermined phase difference with the first clock signal) to shift write data to achieve write data comprising a first desired pre-compensation, wherein the source of the second phase clock generates the second clock signal in response to a read phase select position signal from the read

path and a write phase select signal position signal, which is neither taught or an obvious variation of the prior art.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Prior Art

Takashi et al U.S. Patent No. 5,805,024 is cited as of interest for disclosure of a write pre-compensation circuit utilizing a plurality of phase clocks consistent with Applicant's invention.

Conclusion

5. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:
 - a. Objection to the specification regarding term which was not defined as discussed above.
 - b. Objection to the specification regarding terminology used which is inconsistent with art-accepted terminology as discussed above.
 - c. Objection to the claims regarding lack of antecedent basis discussed above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniell L. Negrón whose telephone number is 571-272-7559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30am-5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David R. Hudspeth can be reached on 571-272-7843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DLN 
February 1, 2006


DAVID R. HUDSPETH

DAVID R. HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600